

1 Thomas P. Bleau, Esq., SBN 152945  
2 Gennady L. Lebedev, Esq., SBN 179945  
3 BLEAU / FOX, A P.L.C.  
3575 Cahuenga Boulevard West, Suite 580  
4 Los Angeles, California 90068  
Telephone : (323) 874-8613  
5 Facsimile : (323) 874-1234  
e-mail: [bleaushark@aol.com](mailto:bleaushark@aol.com)  
e-mail: [glebedev@bleaufox.com](mailto:glebedev@bleaufox.com)

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
7 HOUTAN PETROLEUM, INC.

8  
9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR**  
10 **THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 HOUTAN PETROLEUM, INC. ) CASE NO. 07-CV-5627 SC  
12 )  
12 Plaintiff, )  
13 vs. ) PLAINTIFF, HOUTAN PETROLEUM, INC.'S,  
13 ) PROPOSED JURY VERDICT FORM  
14 )  
14 CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Texas )  
Corporation and DOES 1 through 10, )  
15 Inclusive )  
16 )  
16 Defendants. )  
17 )  
17 \_\_\_\_\_ )

18 Plaintiff, Houtan Petroleum, Inc., hereby submits its proposed Jury Verdict Form in the above-  
19 entitled case.

20 Respectfully submitted,

21 Dated: February 1, 2008

BLEAU / FOX, A  
Professional Law Corporation

22 By: \_\_\_\_\_  
23 Thomas P. Bleau, Esq.  
24 Gennady L. Lebedev, Esq.  
25 Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
HOUTAN PETROLEUM, INC.

**Special Verdict Form**

Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence:

1. That defendant, ConocoPhillips Company, has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the termination was permitted under the Petroleum Marketing Practices Act and that defendant, ConocoPhillips Company's action was undertaken in compliance with the procedural notice requirements of the Act?

Answer Yes or No \_\_\_\_\_

Proceed to Question No. 2.

2. That defendant, ConocoPhillips Company, has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that its offer to sell its equipment and improvements to plaintiff, Houtan Petroleum, Inc., was a "bona fide" offer as defined in these instructions?

Answer Yes or No \_\_\_\_\_

If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 1 **and** Question No. 2, skip Question No. 3 and 4, and proceed to the sign and date this Verdict Form. If you answered "No" to either Question No. 1 **or** Question No. 2, proceed to Question No. 3.

3. That plaintiff, Houtan Petroleum, Inc., suffered damages as a result of defendant, ConocoPhillips Company's failure to make a "bona fide" offer?

Answer Yes or No \_\_\_\_\_

If you answered "No" to Question No. 3, skip Question No. 4 and proceed to the sign and date this Verdict Form. If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 3, proceed to Question No. 4.

4. That plaintiff, Houtan Petroleum, Inc., should be awarded the following damages as a result of defendant, ConocoPhillips Company's, failure to make a "bona fide" offer:

Answer with the amount of damages: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Proceed to the sign and date this Verdict Form.

Please have your foreperson date and sign this Verdict Form.

Signature Line

\_\_\_\_\_

Date

Foreperson